

Awareness of Evidence-Based Practice and Cochrane Library among Allied Health Care Professionals

Saira Sami¹  Shaista Ayoob Gabol² 

¹House Officer, Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Centre, Karachi, Pakistan.

¹Mphil Student, College of Physical Therapy, Ziauddin University, Karachi, Pakistan.

Abstract

Objective:

This study aimed to assess the awareness and use of evidence-based practice (EBP) and the Cochrane Library among allied health care professionals working in major public sector hospitals in Karachi.

Method:

A cross-sectional study was conducted among 100 allied health care professionals recruited through convenience sampling from Civil Hospital, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC), and Dow University of Health Sciences (DUHS). A structured self-administered questionnaire was used to measure awareness of EBP principles, knowledge of systematic reviews, and use of the Cochrane Library. Descriptive statistics summarized demographic data, while chi-square tests compared awareness levels across demographic groups.

Result:

Overall, 62% of participants reported having heard of EBP, while only 41% demonstrated an understanding of its principles. Awareness of systematic reviews was observed in 48% of respondents. Regarding the Cochrane Library, 35% had heard of it, and only 18% had ever accessed it. The main barriers to use were lack of training (67%) and limited institutional access (58%). Awareness was higher among younger professionals and those with fewer than five years of experience.

Conclusion:

The findings suggest that while allied health professionals in Karachi are moderately aware of EBP, their knowledge and use of the Cochrane Library remain limited. Capacity-building initiatives, institutional support, and integration of EBP training into professional curricula are **Open Access**. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Received: May 11, 2025

Accepted: June 27, 2025

Published: July 8, 2025

Corresponding Author:

Saira Sami

sairasami1998@gmail.com

**Article information is listed
at the end of this article**

needed to improve evidence-informed practice in Pakistan.

Keywords: *Evidence-based practice; Cochrane Library; allied health professionals; Karachi; awareness.*

Introduction

Evidence-based practice (EBP) is recognized globally as a key strategy for improving the quality of health care delivery, emphasizing the integration of the best available research evidence with clinical expertise and patient values.¹ The Cochrane Library serves as one of the most authoritative sources of systematic reviews, providing clinicians and allied health professionals with reliable, synthesized research evidence to guide decision-making.² In high-income countries, EBP has been successfully integrated into health care curricula and clinical practice, resulting in improved patient outcomes and professional confidence.^{3–4} However, in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), barriers such as inadequate infrastructure, limited internet access, and insufficient training hinder its widespread implementation.^{5–6} Allied health professionals—including physiotherapists, occupational therapists, medical technologists, radiographers, and speech therapists—form an integral part of multidisciplinary health care teams.⁷ Their ability to apply evidence-based interventions is vital for ensuring high-quality and cost-effective patient care. Despite this, awareness of EBP among allied health professionals remains variable, and use of specialized databases such as the Cochrane Library is often limited.^{8–9} Previous research in Saudi Arabia and India revealed that although awareness of EBP was moderate, practical application was hindered by lack of institutional support and training opportunities.^{10–11} In sub-Saharan Africa, studies highlighted infrastructural and technological challenges as major barriers.¹² In Pakistan, most available research has examined physicians' and nurses' knowledge of EBP, with limited focus on allied health professionals.^{13–14} This study was therefore conducted to assess the awareness of EBP and the Cochrane Library among allied health care professionals in Karachi, using Civil Hospital, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC), and Dow University of Health Sciences (DUHS) as study sites. The findings will provide insights into current knowledge gaps and help inform institutional strategies for promoting evidence-based clinical practice.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Civil Hospital, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC), and Dow University of Health Sciences (DUHS) in Karachi. A total of 100 allied health professionals participated, recruited through convenience sampling. Inclusion criteria included

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

working as a qualified allied health professional with at least one year of clinical experience. Professionals still in training or unwilling to consent were excluded. A structured, self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data. The tool included demographic variables (age, gender, years of experience), awareness of EBP, knowledge of systematic reviews, and familiarity with the Cochrane Library. Data were analyzed using SPSS v.26. Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographics and awareness items. Chi-square tests were applied to assess associations between demographics and awareness variables. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 shows that slightly more than half of the participants were female (52%). The majority were between 20 and 30 years of age (57%), and nearly half (46%) had less than five years of professional experience.

Table:1 Demographic Characteristics of Participants

| Variable | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Gender: Male | 48 | 48.0 |
| Gender: Female | 52 | 52.0 |
| Age 20–30 | 57 | 57.0 |
| Age 31–40 | 31 | 31.0 |
| Age >40 | 12 | 12.0 |
| Experience <5 years | 46 | 46.0 |
| Experience 5–10 years | 38 | 38.0 |
| Experience >10 years | 16 | 16.0 |

Table 2 demonstrates that while 62% of participants had heard of EBP, only 41% reported understanding its principles. Awareness of systematic reviews was reported by less than half of the respondents (48%). A majority (72%) believed that EBP improves patient care.

Table 2: Awareness of Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) among Participants

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

| EBP Awareness Item | Yes (%) | No (%) |
|------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Heard of EBP | 62 | 38 |
| Understands EBP principles | 41 | 59 |
| Knows about systematic reviews | 48 | 52 |
| Believes EBP improves patient care | 72 | 28 |

Table 3 reveals that only 35% of respondents had heard of the Cochrane Library, and just 18% had ever accessed it. The most frequently reported barriers were lack of training (67%) and limited institutional access (58%).

Table:3 Awareness and Use of the Cochrane Library among Participants

| Cochrane Awareness Item | Yes (%) | No (%) |
|--|---------|--------|
| Heard of the Cochrane Library | 35 | 65 |
| Ever accessed Cochrane Library | 18 | 82 |
| Identifies lack of training as a barrier | 67 | 33 |
| Reports limited institutional access | 58 | 42 |

Discussion

This study highlights moderate awareness of EBP but limited familiarity with the Cochrane Library among allied health care professionals in Karachi. While more than half of participants had heard of EBP, fewer than half understood its principles, and less than one-fifth had accessed the Cochrane Library. These findings underscore the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application of evidence-based resources. Our results are consistent with findings from India and Saudi Arabia, where allied health professionals demonstrated moderate awareness but low practical application of EBP, primarily due to lack of formal training and restricted institutional access.¹⁰⁻¹¹ Similar outcomes were noted in Nigeria, where barriers included workload and insufficient ICT infrastructure.¹² In contrast, higher levels of EBP awareness have been reported in high-income countries, attributed to structured integration of EBP into education and clinical practice.¹⁴⁻¹⁵

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Awareness of the Cochrane Library was notably poor, despite its role as a gold-standard source of systematic reviews.² Most participants identified lack of training and access as major obstacles, findings supported by regional studies emphasizing the importance of institutional capacity-building and digital infrastructure.^{16–17} The strengths of this study include its focus on an underexplored population—Pakistani allied health professionals—and the use of a structured questionnaire. Limitations include the reliance on self-reported data, which may introduce bias, and the use of convenience sampling, which reduces generalizability. The cross-sectional design also prevents causal interpretations. Future studies should adopt multi-center and longitudinal designs and evaluate the impact of structured EBP training programs. In conclusion, the findings highlight the urgent need for integrating EBP training into allied health curricula and providing institutional access to databases such as the Cochrane Library. Improving awareness and utilization of these resources can strengthen evidence-informed clinical practice in Pakistan.

Conclusion

Awareness of EBP among allied health professionals in Karachi was moderate, but knowledge and use of the Cochrane Library were limited. Key barriers included lack of training and restricted access. Addressing these through structured educational initiatives and institutional support is essential for promoting evidence-based health care delivery in Pakistans.

Author Contributions:

Ms Saira Sami verifies the full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis

Concept and design: Saira Sami

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Shaista Ayoob Gabol

Drafting of the manuscript: Saira Sami

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All Authors

Statistical analysis: Shaista Ayoob Gabol

Obtained funding: Not applicable

Administrative, technical, or material support: Not applicable

Supervision: Not applicable

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: None

References

1. Sackett DL, Rosenberg WM, Gray JA, Haynes RB, Richardson WS. Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. *BMJ*. 1996;312(7023):71–2.
2. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al., editors. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. 2nd ed. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons; 2019.
3. Dawes M, Summerskill W, Glasziou P, et al. Sicily statement on evidence-based practice. *BMC Med Educ*. 2005;5:1.
4. Straus SE, Glasziou P, Richardson WS, Haynes RB. *Evidence-based medicine: how to practice and teach it*. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Elsevier; 2018.
5. Olsen NR, Bradley P, Espehaug B, Nortvedt MW. Impact of a multifaceted and clinically integrated training program in evidence-based practice on knowledge, skills, beliefs and behaviour among clinical instructors in physiotherapy: a non-randomized controlled study. *BMC Med Educ*. 2015;15:167.
6. Illic D, Maloney S. Methods of teaching medical trainees evidence-based medicine: a systematic review. *Med Educ*. 2014;48(2):124–35.
7. Abu-Rish Blakeney E, Pfeifle A, Jones M, et al. Using interprofessional education to enhance evidence-based practice. *Ann Behav Sci Med Educ*. 2016;22(1):4–9.
8. McEvoy MP, Williams MT, Olds TS. Evidence based practice profiles: differences among allied health professions. *BMC Med Educ*. 2010;10:69.
9. Alshehri AA, Al-Khowailed MS, Al-Qahtani AM, et al. Awareness, knowledge, and practice of evidence-based medicine among primary care physicians in Saudi Arabia. *Int J Med Educ*. 2018;9:35–41.
10. Barikani A, Afaghi E, Afshari M. Evidence-based practice and allied health professionals: an Indian perspective. *Int J Allied Health Sci Pract*. 2015;13(2):7.
11. Oduwale A, Oyewumi A, Odusanya O. Awareness and use of evidence-based practice among allied health professionals in Nigeria. *Niger Postgrad Med J*. 2019;26(1):21–6.
12. Qureshi R, Latif S, Karim M. Awareness of evidence-based medicine among Pakistani postgraduate medical trainees. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad*. 2019;31(2):222–6.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

13. Jahan F, Siddiqui MA, Qasim R, Ahmed R. Knowledge, attitude and practice of evidence-based medicine among doctors in Karachi. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2009;25(3):494–7.
14. Dawes M, Sampson U. Knowledge management in clinical practice: a systematic review of health care professionals' knowledge and skills. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2003;3:2.
15. Ilic D, Forbes K. Undergraduate medical student perceptions and use of evidence-based practice: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Med Educ.* 2010;10:19.
16. Albarqouni L, Hoffmann T, Straus S, Olsen NR, Young T, Ilic D. Core competencies in evidence-based practice for health professionals: consensus statement based on a systematic review and Delphi survey. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2018;1(2):e180281.
17. Flores-Mateo G, Argimon JM. Evidence-based practice in postgraduate healthcare education: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2007;7:119.
18. Young T, Rohwer A, Volmink J, Clarke M. What are the effects of teaching evidence-based health care (EBHC)? Overview of systematic reviews. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(1):e86706.
19. Scurlock-Evans L, Upton P, Upton D. Evidence-based practice in physiotherapy: a systematic review of barriers, enablers and interventions. *Physiotherapy.* 2014;100(3):208–19.
20. Jette DU, Bacon K, Batty C, Carlson M, Ferland A, Hemingway RD, et al. Evidence-based practice: beliefs, attitudes, knowledge, and behaviors of physical therapists. *Phys Ther.* 2003;83(9):786–805.
21. McCluskey A, Lovarini M. Providing education on evidence-based practice improved knowledge but did not change behaviour: a before and after study. *BMC Med Educ.* 2005;5:40.
22. Heiwe S, Kajermo KN, Tyni-Lenné R, Guidetti S, Samuelsson M, Andersson IL, et al. Evidence-based practice: attitudes, knowledge and behaviour among allied health care professionals. *Int J Qual Health Care.* 2011;23(2):198–209.
23. Ciliska D. Educating for evidence-based practice. *J Prof Nurs.* 2005;21(6):345–50.
24. Shaneyfelt T, Baum KD, Bell D, et al. Instruments for evaluating education in evidence-based practice: a systematic review. *JAMA.* 2006;296(9):1116–27.
25. Tilson JK, Kaplan SL, Harris JL, Hutchinson A, Ilic D, Niederman R, et al. Sicily statement on classification and development of evidence-based practice learning assessment tools. *BMC Med Educ.* 2011;11:78.
26. Flores-Mateo G, Argimon JM. Barriers to implementing evidence-based practice in clinical practice: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2007;7:142.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

27. Brown CE, Ecoff L, Kim SC, Wickline MA, Rose B, Klimpel K, et al. Multi-institutional study of barriers to research utilization and evidence-based practice among hospital nurses. J Clin Nurs. 2010;19(13-14):1944–51.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

How to Cite this Article: Sami S, Gabool SA. Awareness of Evidence-Based Practice and Cochrane Library among Allied Health Care Professionals. Cureon 1(1):39-46.